DARK ENERGY SURVEY

Global Photometric Calibrations for SV-A1 and Lessons Learned for Y1P1

Douglas L. Tucker

NCSA

4 November 2013

Science Verification (SV) Coverage

DARK ENERGY SURVEY



● VVDS(14h)

Credit: Brian Yanny

Science Verification (SV) Coverage

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

To be done (Supplementary Release):

1. Y-band for SN-C, SN-E, SN-X
2. (u-band for SN-C, SN-E, SN-X, SN-S)
3. RXJ2248 (Aug 15) ✓
4. Std Star Fields



Credit: Brian Yanny

From the Scientific Requirements Document
(sciReq-9.86, 10 June 2010)

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

R-10 For each of the *grizY* bandpasses of the wide-area survey, the fluctuations in the spatially varying systematic component of the magnitude error in the final co-added catalog must be smaller than 2% rms over scales from 0.05 to 4 degrees.

R-11 The color zeropoints between the survey fiducial bandpasses (*g-r, r-i, i-z*) must be known to 0.5% rms. The *z-Y* color zeropoint shall be known to 1% rms.

R-12 The i-band magnitude zeropoint relative to BD+17, and therefore the AB system, must be known to 0.5% rms.

R-13 The system response curves (CCD + filter + lenses + mirror + atmosphere at 1.2 airmasses) must be known with sufficient precision that the synthesized *grizY* magnitudes of any astronomical object with a calibrated spectrum agree with the measured magnitudes to within 2%. When averaged over 100 calibrating objects randomly distributed over the focal plane, the residuals in magnitudes due to uncertain system response curves should be < 0.5% rms.

G-4 A goal of the survey is to achieve **R-10** at the enhanced level of 1% for the final co-added catalog.

G-5 A goal of the survey is to achieve **R-10** over 160 degrees of Right Ascension and 30 degrees of Declination.

For 5-year Survey

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

Photometric Calibration Goals for SV

- All-sky internal: 3% rms
- Absolute Color: 3% (*g*-*r*, *r*-*i*, *i*-*z*); 4% (*z*-*Y*)
- Absolute Flux: 3% in *i*-band (relative to BD+17 4708)

Photometric Requirements (5-year)

- Internal: 2% rms on scales of 0.05°-4° (Goals: 1% rms and/or over 160° in RA, 30° in DEC)
- Absolute Color: 0.5% (*g*-*r*, *r*-*i*, *i*-*z*); 1% (*z*-*Y*) [averaged over 100 objects scattered over FP]
- Absolute Flux: 0.5% in *i*-band (relative to BD+17 4708)

Global Calibration Module (GCM): Field-to-Field Zeropoints (I)

DARK ENERGY SURVEY



A Generic Example:
Frames 5 & 6 are calibrated.
The others are uncalibrated.

- Method used by Oxford-Dartmouth Thirty Degree Survey (MacDonald et al. 2004)
- Developed by Glazebrook et al. (1994) for an imaging K-band survey

- Consider n frames, of which (1, …, m) are calibrated and (m+1,…,n) are uncalibrated.
- Let $\Delta_{ij} = \langle mag_i - mag_j \rangle_{pairs}$ (note $\Delta_{ij} = -\Delta_{ji}$).
- Let ZP_i be the floating zero-point of frame i, but fixing $ZP_i = 0$ if $i > m$.
- Let $\theta_{ij} = 1$ if frames i and j overlap or if i = j; otherwise let $\theta_{ij} = 0$.
- Minimize $S = \Sigma\Sigma\ \theta_{ij} (\Delta_{ij} + ZP_i - ZP_j)^2$

Global Calibration Module (GCM): Field-to-Field Zeropoints (II)

DARK ENERGY SURVEY



Example:
Frames 5 & 6 are calibrated.
The others are uncalibrated.
(From Glazebrook et al. 1994)

$$\begin{vmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \times \begin{vmatrix} ZP1 \\ ZP2 \\ ZP3 \\ ZP4 \\ ZP5 \\ ZP6 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \Delta_{12} + \Delta_{16} \\ \Delta_{21} + \Delta_{26} \\ \Delta_{34} \\ \Delta_{43} + \Delta_{45} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

Steps in the Global Photometric Calibration for SV-A1 (using GCM)

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

1. **Pre-Calibrate:** using nights with good PSM (nightly std star) solutions, create a set of local DES tertiary standards in each isolated SV area (Bullet Cluster, El Gordo, SPTE, SPTW, SN-S, SN-E, …) to tie the zeropoints to the DES AB system as well as to anchor the relative calibrations against gradients. (Sam Wyatt's code, plus tweaks, mostly for SN fields and SPTE.)
2. **StarMatch:** find all unique matches for star detections in the image-to-image overlaps and between star detections and the local tertiary standards.
3. **GCM-zeropoint:** solve for the photometric zeropoints for all the ccd images observed in a given isolated SV area.
4. **NCSA Handoff:** hand off list of ccd image zeropoints to NCSA for uploading into database.

DES Local Tertiary Standards

(Sam Wyatt)

DARK ENERGY SURVEY



DES Local Tertiary Standards

(Sam Wyatt)

DARK ENERGY SURVEY



DES Local Tertiary Standards

(Sam Wyatt)

DARK ENERGY SURVEY



SN-E:
Local Tertiary Standards

DARK ENERGY SURVEY



Persistent and annoying gap in coverage for this field

SN-E:
GCM Solution

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

i-band

zeropoints [mag]



rms [mag]



- Note that **internal** errors (based on variation of post-zp-corrected mags of stars in overlaps) is typically << 0.01 mag (1%) rms for a CCD image, usually averaging around 0.005 mag (0.5%) for the ensemble of all CCD images in an isolated contiguous area.

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

SPTW: GCM Solution

r-band: zeropoints [mag]



SPTW:
GCM Solution

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

r-band: rms [mag]



SPTE:

"Omnia Gallia in tres partes divisa est."

DARK ENERGY SURVEY



DARK ENERGY SURVEY

SPTE:

"Omnia Gallia in tres partes divisa est."



SPTE:
GCM Solution

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

g-band

zeropoints [mag]



rms [mag]



SPTE:
GCM Solution

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

z-band

zeropoints [mag]



rms [mag]



SPTE: GCM Solution

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

z-band

zeropoints [mag]



rms [mag]



5-10% offset between SPTE (Central) and SPTE (Southern).
(Calibration of SPTE (Southern) was a bit of a rush job…)

Statistical vs. Systematic Errors

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

- It is possible to get a statistically good solution from a relative calibrations solver (like GCM) but still have large systematic errors.

- Consider the a long, thin strip in RA, with a 1% flat fielding error (edge-to-edge) from West to East:



- One could still get a statistically tight offset between fields from the overlaps, but still end up with large systematic errors.

Dome Occlusions: Systematic or Random "Faux" Flat-Fielding Error?

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

20130923: r-band



20130923: r-band



20130923: r-band



20130923: r-band



External Test of SPTE Photometry: Stellar Locus Regression (R. Armstrong)

DARK ENERGY SURVEY



External Test of SPTE Photometry: Stellar Locus Regression (R. Armstrong)







External Test of SPTE Photometry: Stellar Locus Regression (R. Armstrong)









DARK ENERGY SURVEY

Lessons Learned/Action Items: Overall

(September 2013)

1. Convert from prototype “bash” scripts to Python.
2. Lots of SN field exposures have poor image quality – include them in the GCM solution?
3. Be aware of inconsistent naming conventions for some fields (should not be a problem for DES Operations, now that all science fields are run through ObsTac).
4. Need to farm out more of the work to other GCM experts once the process is more settled.

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

Lessons Learned/Action Items: Pre-Calibrate Step (Tertiary Standards)

(September 2013)

1. Need RASICAM quantitative measures to identify and exclude exposures taken under non-photometric conditions (**big time sink to do by hand!**). **[Also: Dome Occlusions!!!]**
2. Occasionally, the local tertiary standards for an SV area (particularly for the SN fields) have a gap in coverage for one or more CCDs. This needs to be tracked down.
3. A lot more nights are failing to achieve a good PSM solution than should be the case (> half?). Could the nightly standard star high-airmass fields be suffering more than usual from the "dome occlusion" problem? **[YES!]**
4. Although it could not be done for SV-A1 – due to big changes in the calibration after Y1C2 – for next year, it would make sense to create tertiary standards as soon as FirstCut is done.

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

Lessons Learned/Action Items: StarMatch Step

(September 2013)

1. Use faster matching algorithms (relatively minor point).

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

Lessons Learned/Action Items: GCM-Zeropoint Step

(September 2013)

1. Quality of local tertiary standards important, especially for large areas like SPT-E where tertiary standard coverage will be spotty. Iterating the loop PreCalibrate-StarMatch-GCMzp-PreCalibrate-… when things go wrong is time-consuming.
2. For DES Operations Year 1, probably need a hex-worth of tertiary standards for about every 100-225 sq deg (about every 10°x10° to 15°x15°) – or about 10-25 calibrated hexes over the DES Operations Year 1 Footprint.
3. For the current GCM algorithm, which appears to be an N^3 process (where N=# of unique and independent ccd images), soluntions for N>15,000 per filter band become prohibitively long to complete (>1 day). Need to break up DES area into manageable “chunks.”

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

Lessons Learned/Action Items: Handoff to NCSA Step

(September 2013)

1. Current process seems to work OK as a temporary solution.
2. Need to plan what to do for next year.
    a. Plan should be general enough to handle future incarnations of Uebercal-like calibrations (YaCal, PennCal, …)

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

Recommendations and Conclusions for SV-A1

- May actually be approaching 5-year requirements on internal photometry (2% rms over scales between 0.05° and 4° -- i.e., over 5.33 coadd tiles) over much of the SPTE.

- When working with SPTE, avoid extreme edges and near LMC (south of -60°).

- Gradients are the bane of relative calibrations!

- See also: https://desweb.cosmology.illinois.edu/confluence/display/Operations/SV-A1+Global+Calibration+Module

Y1P1: Survey Progress as of 10/30

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

- Weak Lensing uses *riz* bands.
- When the delivered DECam PSF FWHM>1.1" (in i-band at zenith), we observe in *g* (if dark) or *Y* (if moon) or go to SN fields if they haven't been observed recently.
- This cut is based on survey simulations, historical site seeing statistics, and assumed model for camera+telescope+dome contribution to delivered PSF.
- Due to poorer than expected image quality, we have done a lot of observing in g, Y and SNe, but riz have fallen behind.
- Weather has also been unusually bad.



(One of Josh Frieman's slides from last Friday)

Notes Towards a Plausible WBS for Global Calibrations for Y1P1?



Notes Towards a Plausible WBS for Global Calibrations for Y1P1?



Notes Towards a Plausible WBS for Global Calibrations for Y1P1?



DARK ENERGY SURVEY

Some Other Urgent Tasks on DLT's "To Do" List

- Run GCM pipeline on SV-A1 for:
  - SN fields (Y-band)
  - SN fields (u-band)
  - Nightly standard star fields ((u),g,r,i,z,Y)
- Additional dome occlusion work not included here
- Identify extra objects in some of the nightly standard star images
- Provide support for white dwarf absolute calibration project
  - NSF grant, mtpipe support work
- Run GCM pipeline on SMASH LMC data

DARK ENERGY
SURVEY

Extra Slides